Smart Government Project Grievance Redress Mechanism

Summary Report Jan 1, 2019- June 30, 2019

The Smart Government Project Implementation Unit (PIU) has been receiving and solving feedbacks from citizens, firms, companies and other entities since it was established on September 15, 2015. The Smart Government Project re-activated Feedback section of the Project's website on December 27, 2018.

This is the first six-monthly Summary Report of the Smart Government Project Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM). This report provides details of all complaints received between September 15, 2015– June 30, 2019.

The Smart Government Project GRM aims to identify and record concerns of communities and stakeholders potentially affected by Smart Government Project-related activities, implement a timely and responsive approach to resolving grievances, and demonstrate transparent monitoring and reporting of issues of concern. The Smart Government Project PIU registers all issues of concern reported to it and decides whether they are related to Smart Government Project activities and warrant further investigation or whether to refer them as unrelated complaints for independent action. If an investigation is warranted the Smart Government Project or PIU facilitates assessment and consultations with the complainant with the aim of achieving agreed mitigating actions. A complainant may refer an issue at any time to the World Bank Grievance Redress Service (WB GRS) or escalate an issue to the World Bank Inspection Panel (WB IP).

This six-monthly report aims to monitor and disclose complaints received by the Smart Government Project GRM and is drafted according to the following guidelines:

- The report summarizes the number and type of complaints received;
- Identifies current status as:
 - Unrelated: complaint or issue is not linked to the Smart Government Project or the responsibility of the Smart Government PIU to address;
 - Resolving: complaint has been registered and is under review or actions are being taken to address it;
 - Resolved: actions have been taken and provided to the complainant;
- Identifies the number of days from registration until resolving the complaint;
- Identifies the ratio of grievances received to grievances addressed;
- Maintains the confidentiality of complainants' identities.

In total, since the launch of the Smart Government Project in 2015, the Project has received 14 feedbacks through 4 channels (paper based/letter by post, by email, by feedback section of the Project's website and by the Project's Facebook page). 5 grievances out of 14 feedbacks were addressed and all of them were resolved. During this reporting period, 4 grievances were received and all were resolved.

The ratio of total grievances received, to those with resolved 5:5 (100% resolved).

Summary feedbacks/grievances Received

Period	Status	Number	
New Period Unrelated (2019.01.01-06-30) Resolving		3 (Facebook page-2, Project's website -1)	
		0	
	Resolved	4 (Project's website -1, paper based letter- 3)	
of		of which: comment 1, complaint 3)	
Previous Period	Unrelated	6 (Facebook page 4, email 2)	
(2016.09.15-2018.12.31) Resolving		0	
	Resolved	1 (Facebook page -1)	
		of which clarification 1.	
Total	Unrelated	9	
(2016.09.15-2019.06.30)	Resolving	0	
	Resolved	5	
		of which: comment 1, clarification 1, complaint 3	

Summary of grievances addressed

No.	Date	Registered	Type	Summary of issue	Summary of actions taken	Status,
	received	No.				Date resolved,
						period of
						resolving process
						/working days/
1	March 16,	10/2019/	Comment	Publish all open data which is	The Smart Government Project PIU	Date Resolved:
	2019.			existing and is going to be released	provided detailed information on	March 22, 2019
				on a website.	activities of implementing open	5 working days.
					data portal, which will publish all	

	1	1			T	
					open data in Mongolia, improving	
					Open Data policy and legal	
					framework.	
2	April 2,	12/2019/	Complaint	A bidder made complaint to bid	The bid evaluation was reviewed.	Date Resolved:
	2019		_	evaluation that the bidder did not	The complainant was provided	May 7, 2019
				approve NOT having similar work	explanations to prove bid	22 working days
				of experience.	evaluation.	
				or experience.	o variation.	
3	April 2,	13/2019/	Complaint	A bidder made complaint to bid	The bid evaluation was reviewed.	Date Resolved:
	2019			evaluation that the bidder did not	The complainant was provided	May 7, 2019
				approve NOT having similar work	explanations to prove bid	22 working days
				of experience.	evaluation.	
				_		
4	May 28,	23/2019/	Complaint	A bidder made complaint to bid	The bid evaluation was reviewed.	Date Resolved:
	2019			evaluation that a contract awarded	The complainant was provided	June 24, 2019
				company was not qualified with the	explanations to prove bid	19 working days
1		1		bid requirements.	evaluation.	8